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In past researches, no one has paid attention to the effect of solid earth deformation on the insolation variation due to the long period change of orbit and tilt of rotational axis according to gravitational perturbation among other planets—i.e. Milankovitch cycle. However, in view of the long time scale ($O(10^7)$ years), secular change of the earth-moon distance and rotational speed of the earth would have caused the great effect on Milankovitch cycle. Besides, in shorter time scale ($O(10^4)\sim O(10^5)$ years), amount of ice sheet varies in accordance to glacial and interglacial period and this fact may have some influence to the dynamics of the rotational axis. Here we concentrate on the latter problem and show the possibility of long period oscillation of the earth’s obliquity which is derived from orbital calculation including deformation of solid planet, and indicate the probable feedback effect to the solar insolation variation on the earth.

MOTIVATION AND BACKGROUND

Solar insolation on to the surface of the planet has been said to vary periodically and cause long period climate change. Time scale of this cycle is $10^4 \sim 10^5$ years, and it is sure that this cycle was a pacemaker of the glacial/interglacial cycle in Quaternary on the earth (Hayes et al., 1976). This is called Milankovitch cycle and its mechanism has been theorized quantitatively from so early times because it consists of classical celestial mechanics about point masses and rigid bodies. Such system was easy to calculate even at the age when computers were not available. In addition to that, it was geologists and climate researchers that have been interested in Milankovitch cycle and glacial/interglacial cycle, but few of them tried to recalculate Milankovitch theory. Hence the theory of orbital parameter variation is thought to be completed by present (Smart, 1953; Sharaf & Budnikova, 1967; Berger, 1976; Berger, 1978; Berger, 1988).

“Rigid body planet” means that the solid planet does not deform at all, but actually, observational and theoretical evidences begin to indicate that the earth is not a rigid body rather viscous fluid in longer time scale, and deforms because of growth and consumption of ice sheet, in other words, glacial/interglacial cycle (Farrell, 1972; Pelletier, 1974; Wu & Pelletier, 1982; Rubincam, 1984). Deformation of the planet have significant influences on the rotation and the axial tilt of the planet (Lambeck, 1980). So we have to consider here at least two problems about the deformation of the solid earth: (1) growth and consumption of ice sheets, i.e. glacial/interglacial cycle, (2) dynamic evolution of the earth-moon system. Time scale of the former problem is $10^4 \sim 10^5$ years, and the latter $10^6$ years. In this paper we have mainly investigated about the former and made some qualitative discussion about the latter.

Our concept on the earth system is summarized in Figure 1. Until now, it is thought that the change of the axial tilt controls the variation of the surface distribution of the solar insolation and causes growth and consumption of ice sheet. Hence the deformation of the earth will exert a feedback effect on this process. Solid planet deform because of the growth and the consumption of ice sheets, which gives some perturbation to the dynamics of the axial tilt of the planet. Intention of the present research is to inspect the existence of this feedback process and re-calculate the insolation variation including this process. This possibility was first advocated by Rubincam (1990) for Mars. He suggested the existence of this feedback process and implied the possibility that the present obliquity of Mars ($25.2^\circ$) has been determined by the long period ($4.6 \times 10^4$ years) secular change.
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Fig.1. Whole concept of this research. Deformation of the planet changes the axial tilt, which directly influences solar insolation variation. Then the glacial/interglacial cycle is invoked that gives some feedback effect to deformation of the planet. This paper mentions only to the processes expressed by solid lines. Processes of dashed lines will be done in the near future.

MOTION OF ROTATIONAL AXIS

To solve the problem, we have to first consider the equation of motion of the rotational axis.

When averaged over both diurnal and seasonal cycles the solar torques acting on the oblate figure of the earth cause its spin axis (characterized by the unit vector $s$) to precess about the instantaneous orbit normal $n$ at a rate give by Bills' (1990)

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{\alpha}{(1 - e^2)^2} (s \cdot n)(s \times n)$$

(1)

where

$$\alpha = \frac{3n^2 C - A}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{M_m}{M_s} \frac{a_m}{a_s} \right)^3$$

(2)

is a rate constant which depends on the orbital mean motion $n$, the axial spin rate $D_s$, polar and equatorial moment of inertia $C$ and $A$. Here $M_m$ and $M_s$ are the mass of the
Fig. 2. Relationship between θ, φ and s, n. Usually φ is measured from the orientation of vernal equinox. Thick dashed arrow shows the movement of the planet around the sun.

Moon and the sun, a_s is the solar distance, and a_m is the earth-moon distance, e is the orbital eccentricity, α is the sum of contribution from the sun

$$\alpha_s = \frac{3G C - A M_s}{2D C} a_s^3$$

and from the moon

$$\alpha_m = \frac{3G C - A M_m}{2D C} a_m^3$$

where G is the gravitational constant. Equation (1) is derived from the assumption of a rigid body planet.

As mentioned above, deformation of the planet has not been considered; α has been considered constant in the past researches (Berger, 1976; Shanaf & Buchikawa, 1967). It is because n, D, a_s, a_m were thought not to vary very much in the time scale of Milankovitch cycle. But in fact, α changes in shorter time scale due to glacial/interglacial cycle (Figure 5).

In the present paper we implemented the integration of equation (1), taking account of the arbitrary change of α. In fact, the change of α is determined by the process (c) in Figure 1, but this process is only known to be nonlinear and fairly difficult to accurately explain (Hays et al., 1976; Pollard, 1983). Besides it is not still clear whether warming of the planet surface due to the increase of incoming insolation is in favor or against the growth of ice sheets (Källén et al., 1979; Wetherald & Manabe, 1979). This process should be investigated independently as another research.

Our final goal is to get insolation variation time series. For this purpose we need to calculate the obliquity θ and the spin axis precession angle φ of the earth. Relationship between θ, φ and s, n are schematically shown in Figure 2. It is evident that θ and φ are expressed as follows:

$$\theta = \cos^{-1}(s \cdot n), \quad \phi = \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{s_y}{\sin \theta}\right)$$

Here we use ordinary orbital parameters e (eccentricity), I (orbital inclination), Π (longitude of perihelion with respect to the fixed vernal equinox), Ω (longitude of the ascending node) and set

$$h = e \sin \Pi, \quad k = e \cos \Pi$$

Fig. 3. (Upper) daily average solar insolation curve of the earth (65°N, summer solstice). Unit of vertical axis is W/m². (Lower) power spectrum of the upper data. Notice the peak of about 19000 and 23000 years (due to C1, the precession term) and 4100 years (due to C0, the obliquity term).

$$p = 2 \sin \frac{I}{2} \sin \Omega, \quad q = 2 \sin \frac{I}{2} \cos \Omega$$

(Brouwer & van Woerkom, 1956; Bretagnon, 1974; Ward, 1974). These equations express the orbital evolution of each planet. As a solution of above equations we use the result of Laskar (1988).

For the convenience to integrate the equation (1), we first rewrite the components of the orbit normal in terms of the variables p and q of equation (4) following Bills (1990)

$$n_x = p \xi, \quad n_y = -q \zeta, \quad n_z = \eta$$

where

$$\eta = \cos I = 1 - \frac{p^2 + q^2}{4}$$

Using this relation, we find

$$s \cdot n = \xi (p s_x - q s_y) + q s_z$$

$$s \times n = \begin{pmatrix}
\eta s_y + q \xi s_z \\
-q s_x + p \xi s_z \\
-\xi (q s_x + p s_y)
\end{pmatrix}$$
Thus equation (1) can be written in the form

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} s_x \\ s_y \\ s_z \end{pmatrix} = \alpha \left( \frac{\eta s_x - \eta s_y + \eta s_z \left( \frac{\eta s_x + \eta s_y}{1 - (k^2 + \kappa^2)} \right)}{1 - (k^2 + \kappa^2)} \right)
\]

which can be easily integrated. As a check of the accuracy of the numerical solutions, the spin vector \( \mathbf{s} \) should remain a unit vector. In the integrations reported below, the norm of \( \mathbf{s} \) derived from unity by less than one part in \( 10^5 \) at the end of a \( 10^7 \) year integration.

From the solution of equation (5) we can get the average semi-annual insolation amount (Milankovitch, 1930)

\[
\begin{align*}
Q_x &= R_0(B_0 + C_0 - C_1) \\
Q_y &= R_0(B_0 - C_0 + C_1)
\end{align*}
\]

for Northern hemisphere and

\[
\begin{align*}
Q_x^* &= R_0(B_0 - C_0 - C_1) \\
Q_y^* &= R_0(B_0 + C_0 + C_1)
\end{align*}
\]

for Southern hemisphere, where

\[
R_0 = \frac{S_0}{n \sqrt{1 - e^2}}
\]

\[
C_0 = \sin |\varphi| \sin \theta
\]

\[
C_1 = \frac{4e \sin \omega}{\pi} \cos \varphi
\]

\( S_0 \) is the solar constant at the mean orbital radius, \( B_0 \) is called the symmetric term (contribution of the yearly averaged insolation), \( C_0 \) the obliquity term (contribution of the obliquity variation) and \( C_1 \) the precession term (contribution of the precession variation), \( \varphi \) is the latitude on the earth. For example daily average insolation at 65°N at the summer solstice and its power spectrum is given as Figure 3.

There is one point we should notice on about equation (6) \( \sim (10) \). \( \Pi \) is the longitude of perihelion with respect to the fixed vernal equinox and \( \omega \) is the longitude of perihelion with respect to the moving vernal equinox, so we can not get the value of \( \omega \) through equations (3) \( \sim (5) \). To get \( \omega \) the relationship between \( \omega, \Pi, \phi \) is needed. It is schematically shown in Figure 4. From the spherical trigonometric formulation we get

\[
\omega = \Pi + \phi_y = \Pi + (\phi - \tan^{-1}(\cos I \tan \Omega))
\]

Then the next question is: how does \( \alpha \) change with time?

**Deformation of the Solid Planet**

Here we concentrate our notice on the components of \( \alpha \), especially \( \frac{c-a}{c} \). It is quite reasonable because \( \frac{c-a}{c} \) expresses the degree of deformation of the planet. For further approximation we use "flattening" \( f(= \frac{c-a}{c}) \) instead of \( \frac{c-a}{c} \), where \( c, a \) is the semi-major and the semi-minor axis of the planet. This assumption simulates the nature very well in a case of constant density spheroid and \( a \simeq c \) (nearby sphere) (Varga, 1955; Stacy, 1977; Turcotte & Schubert, 1982).

Fig. 4. Relationship between \( \omega, \Pi, \phi \). A is the ascending node, \( B_0 \) is the equator of Epoch, \( B_0 \) is the vernal equinox of date, \( P \) is the perihelion, \( I \) is the orbital inclination, \( \psi \) is the general precession in longitude, \( \theta \) is the obliquity, \( \alpha \) is the argument of perihelion and \( \Pi = \Omega + \alpha P \).

Temporal change of \( f(\propto \alpha) \) with time is expected as shown in Figure 5. Complete three dimensional numerical model of the earth's interior is necessary to calculate accurate change of \( f \) (Catheles, 1975; Wu & Peltier, 1982; Rubincam, 1984). In that sense Figure 5 shows only a symbolic image of \( f \), but we can at least say that \( f \) oscillates around its average value \( f_0 \) in accordance with glacial/interglacial cycle. So we apply a first order consideration at this stage of research.

From the researches of Quaternary, sea level has been changed about 100 meters during the last ice age (Tooley, 1987). This fact indicates the glacial/interglacial cycle has actually changed \( \frac{c-a}{c} \) of the planet. Sea level change of 100 meters is equivalent to nearly 30 meters change of solid earth radius. Then we get the amplitude of \( f \)

\[
\Delta f \simeq \frac{2 \Delta a}{a} = \frac{2 \times 30(m)}{6400(km)} \simeq 10^{-5}
\]

We know \( f_0 \) is about \( \frac{1}{300} \), so the amplitude of \( f(\propto \alpha) \) is \( 10^{-5}/300 \simeq 3\% \). On the other hand there is no che
about viscous relaxation time constant. However viscous relaxation time lag $\zeta$ does make sense in a closed system such as shown in Figure 1, so in this case (forced oscillation of the earth’s figure) $\zeta$ has no realistic meaning. We set $\zeta = 0$ here.

From the above discussion, we set

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 (1 + \Delta \alpha \sin(\omega t + \phi))$$

(11)

where $\alpha_0$ is the equilibrium value (corresponding to $f_0$), $\Delta \alpha$ is the maximum amplitude ($\approx 0.3\%$ of $\alpha_0$), $\omega$ is the oscillation period (parameter). We take sinusoidal form oscillation instead of saw-type oscillation shown in Figure 5 for the convenience of numerical calculation.

Our final purpose is to get insolation variation time series, so, substituting (11) into (5), integrating (5) by using fourth-order Runge-Kutta method and translating $8$ into $\theta$ and $\phi$ and apply them to (6) ~ (10). Results are discussed in the next section.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Obliquity of the earth have been known to oscillate in a period about 41,000 years (Figure 6 and Figure 8) (Milankovitch, 1920; Vennesan, 1972; Berger, 1976). Our calculations also confirm this fact. Frequency of deformation of the solid earth $\omega$ is a parameter and we don’t know exact value of it. But notice that $\omega$ is involved by glacial/interglacial cycle, and this fact suggests the possibility that typical frequency of obliquity $\theta (\approx 2\pi/41,000 \text{years})$ and $\omega$ are similar to each other. So as possible parameter value we set $\omega \approx 2\pi/41,000$, and got astonishing result shown in Figure 7 and Figure 8. When we set $\omega$ at this value there appears evidently very long period oscillation on the obliquity time series. The period of this oscillation reaches up to 15 million years, which has never been pointed out in the past researches. At other values of $\omega$ than $2\pi/41,000$, this low frequency does not appear at all. On the other hand spin axis precession angle $\phi$ is rather dull about the effect of $\omega$ and we could not find any long period oscillation in the time series of $\phi$. Insolation variation curve using this result is shown as Figure 9.

What does this fact mean? Actually the answer to this question is—we don’t know. This extraordinary long period oscillation is the product of the resonance between the eigenfrequency of the system and the frequency of forced oscillation (both have typical period of 41,000 years). However, whether this long period trend has any reality or not is still not clear. What is important here is that the system we consider is not a closed one. To close the system in Figure 1 we have to solve another two problems: first, the process of ice sheet growth and consumption (process (c)); second, quantitative calculation of deformation of the solid earth due to glacial/interglacial cycle (process (d)). The latter is relatively easy and many researchers are committed in this problem. Detailed models of the viscoelastic earth and the methods of space-time convolution for solving the dynamic deformation of the planet.
are available (Peltier, 1974; Wu & Peltier, 1982; Cathles, 1975). On the other hand the former is fairly difficult, and this difficulty is derived from nonlinearity which the earth’s climate system has (Moriyama, 1986; Källén et al., 1979), so it is necessary to simplify the whole system to close the feedback loop and to get ultimate results.

We have to comment a word about the effect of the earth’s deformation on Milankovitch cycle in longer time scale ($O(10^3)$ years). Dynamic evolution of the earth-moon system has been researched for a long time. These researches have made clear that the distance between the earth and the moon is becoming larger and rotational speed of the earth is becoming slower because of tidal friction. Besides, oblateness of the earth was much larger in ancient times than now, for $f$ of the viscous self-gravitational liquid satisfies the relationship $f = \frac{5m^2}{4GM}$, where $m$ is the mass of the liquid (Turcoott & Schubert, 1982). Using the observational data (Williams, 1990) and the theory (Turcoott et al., 1977) to get the value of $\alpha_m$ and $D_c$, we can expect the typical period of ancient Milankovitch cycle as Table 1. But this is merely a qualitative discussion and there are many problems to achieve to the final goal (for example, in the calculation of making Table 1 we ignore the inclination of the moon’s orbit against the earth’s orbit; Initial values of each calculation (present, 0.65Ga ago, 2.5Ga ago) are all the same for the convenience of numerical integration). They remain as future subjects.

Finally we suggest another interesting application of this theory. As compared to the earth, variations of orbital element of Mars is fairly large (see Table 2) (Murray et al., 1973; Ward, 1974; Ward, 1979). In addition to that Mars has no large satellite such as moon and the oblateness of its figure is enormous. As resulted from these facts, axial tilt of Mars and its variation amplitude are quite large (see Figure 10). Besides, the climate system of Mars is expected to be very simple because of the lack of ocean, so the influence of Milankovitch cycle will be overwhelming. There are also ice sheet called “polar cap” which consists of solid CO$_2$, and maybe glacial/interglacial cycle exists (Carr, 1987). Several accurate numerical models of atmosphere and energy balance of Mars is submitted (Fassale, 1975; Tanaka & Abe, 1991), it is possible to build a full model of climate system of Mars. Such a models is far from realization in the case of the earth. If examining the reality of above feedback mechanism it may be better to choose Mars as object rather than the earth.

**CONCLUSION AND FUTURE PROSPECT**

Although reality is not still to be examined, possibility of long period oscillation of the earth’s obliquity were indicated. It is true variation of solar insolation theory—Milankovitch cycle—must be modified very much and so the researches about glacial/interglacial cycle in Quaternary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time [year before present]</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>-6e+06</th>
<th>-4e+06</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6e+06</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4e+06</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Rotational speed, flattening and the excellent frequency of the obliquity oscillation at the present, 0.65Ga ago, 2.5Ga ago. Physical values of the earth-moon system is from Williams (1990) and Turcoott (1977). Actually this table shows only a qualitative trend and the exact value is quite rough estimation. 2.5Ga ago is the age of banded iron formation and 0.65Ga ago is thought to be in a great ice age.
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Table 2. Orbital elements, flattening of the earth and Mars. Data are from Turcotte (1982) and Ward (1979).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mars</th>
<th>Earth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>eccentricity $e$</td>
<td>0.0334</td>
<td>0.0167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inclination $I(^\circ)$</td>
<td>1.851</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flattening $f$</td>
<td>$6.117 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$3.333 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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